

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,929	07/21/2003	Brian R. Young	9060-206	6464
20792	7590 08/25/2006		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			CAVALLARI, DANIEL J	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2836	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/623,929	YOUNG, BRIAN R.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel J. Cavallari	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of excunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, .	ected claims.			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Description:					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 12-14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-8.16-19 and 21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Il be entered and an explanation of			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary and			
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)			
		BRIAN SIRCUS ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHMOLOGY CENTER 2800			

Continuation of 13. Other: In regard to claims 6, 8, 19, & 21, the applicant argues that the "there is nothing in Lee that teaches that the luminescence described therein could be used to indicate anything". Both the applicant and examiner agree that the applicant's invention is intended to be used to indicate the state of the UPS and the reference cited is intended to be used to conserve power. However, despite these differences in intended use, the examiner points out that the luminescence values taught by Lee perform the same task as the applicant regardless of his reasons. Lee further explicitly states in regard to the control of the luminescence "The current flowing through then decreases and the backlight CCFL 40 dims down" (See Lee, Column 9, Lines 62-63). The control of the luminescence taught by Lee inherently performs the same task of "indication" as that of the applicant's thereby reading upon the claimed invention.